

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,066	03/20/2001	Ruediger Bartz	951/49329	9690
23911 75	90 04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			BROWN, VERNAL U	
P.O. BOX 1430	AL PROPERTY GROUP 0		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20044-4300		2635	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	UK			
	09/700,066	BARTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vernal U Brown	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ma	<u>arch 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	tage			
••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/700,066

Art Unit: 2635

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication filed on March 07, 2005.

Response to Amendment

The examiner acknowledges that the claims were not amended in the communication filed on March 07, 2005.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. U.S Patent 4673921 in view of Veghtee et al. US Patent 5825329 and further in view of Iwasaki US Patent 5898405.

Regarding claim 5, Saito et al. teaches a mobile transponder (figure 1A) for a vehicle with an optical indicator (col. 3 lines 35-36), the mobile transponder comprises:

Application/Control Number: 09/700,066

Art Unit: 2635

evaluation logic (21) for providing output information concerning direction and location of the vehicle (col. 3 lines 30-35) and a display for displaying information concerning the location of the vehicle (col. 4 lines 44-55). Saito et al. teaches rotating the antenna to provide coverage in all direction (col. 4 lines 44-56). The information regarding the direction and location of the vehicle is therefore provided regardless of the orientation of the transponder because the antenna is rotated to enable transmission of such information. Saito et al. teaches an antenna (17) for recognizing the signal from the vehicle (col. 3 line 35) but is however silent on teaching a plurality of antennas having three-dimensional directional characteristics for recognizing a signal from the vehicle. Veghtee et al. in an art related microstrip patch antenna invention teaches a transponder having plurality of microstrip patch antennas (11, 12, 18, 17) as described in column 2 lines 33-45. Microstrip patch antennas are conventionally used as omnidirectional (three-dimensional) antenna as evidenced by Iwasaki (col. 4 lines 21-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a plurality of antennas having three-dimensional directional characteristics for recognizing a signal from the vehicle in Saito et al. as evidenced by Veghtee et al. in view of Iwasaki because Saito et al. suggests an antenna recognizing the signal from the vehicle and rotating the antenna to provide coverage in all direction and Veghtee et al. in view of Iwasaki teaches a transponder using multiple three-dimensional to provide coverage in all direction.

Claim 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. U.S Patent 4673921 in view of Veghtee et al. US Patent 5825329 in view of Iwasaki US Patent 5898405 and further in view of Culpepper et al. U.S 5657026.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,066

Art Unit: 2635

Regarding claims 6-7, Saito et al. in view of Veghtee et al. in view of Iwasaki teaches providing location information (U.S Patent 4673921, col. 3 lines 33-36) but is silent on teaching providing an indication of the distance of the vehicle from the mobile transponder. Culpepper et al. in an art related Beacon Signal Receiving System teaches providing an indication of the distance of the vehicle from the mobile transponder (col. 3 lines 24-30) in order to provide additional location information to the user.

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art to provide an indication of the distance of the vehicle from the mobile transponder in Saito et al. in view of Veghtee et al. in view of Iwasaki as evidenced by Culpepper et al. because Saito et al. in view of Veghtee et al. in view of Iwasaki suggests providing location information and Culpepper et al. teaches providing an indication of the distance of the vehicle from the mobile transponder in order to provide additional location information to the user.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patent is cited to further show the state of art with respect transponder with three-dimensional antenna:

U.S Patent 6246356 to Gunnarson.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,066 Page 5

Art Unit: 2635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vernal U Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-7:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vernal Brown

April 12, 2005

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2601)

Michael Horabik

Micha